XIANELLA: A NEW MAT-FORMING CALCIFIED CYANOBACTERIUM FROM THE MIDDLE–LATE ORDOVICIAN OF NORTH CHINA
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Abstract: Xianella hongii gen. et sp. nov. is described from the Middle–Late Ordovician of Shaanxi, China and interpreted as a calcified cyanobacterial sheath. Xianella filaments formed cable-like strands that constructed thick fenestral layers. The specimens occur in metre-sized limestone blocks, possibly derived from local collapse of a reefal platform margin. In combination with micrite, some of which is intraclastic and peloidal, Xianella created thick and extensive stacks of layered calcified fenestral fabric that appear to be synsedimentarily calcified open-frame mat deposits. The fenestrae range from small, laminose and very irregular, to large equidimensional areas ~2 cm across. Fenestrae with rounded outlines resemble primary gas bubbles observed in present-day microbial mats. These delicate fabrics are comparable in structure and quality of preservation with those of some Proterozoic silicified stromatolitic mats.
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Small calcareous tubular filaments often interpreted as in vivo calcified cyanobacterial sheaths (Pollock 1918; Riding 1977, 2006), that first appear in the Proterozoic (Klein et al. 1987), are widely distributed and locally common in Phanerozoic marine carbonates (Riding 2012). The best known calcified genus, Girvanella, first described from the Ordovician, typically occurs as loosely tangled filaments (Nicholson & Etheridge 1878), but long and relatively straight forms also occur, such as Cladogirvanella, first described from the Triassic (Ott 1966). From the Ordovician of China we describe Xianella, a new genus consisting of unbranched filaments. Like Cladogirvanella it forms thick anastomosing cable-like strands, but Xianella is significantly larger than Cladogirvanella and exhibits overall subhorizontal orientation relative to the substrate with an open mat-like structure in which the strands commonly surround millimetric to centimetric pore-spaces, some of which are rounded and resemble gas bubbles. The Xianella filaments are commonly coated by peloidal micritic patches and veneers that resemble calcified biofilm, and the tangled filaments enclose numerous fenestrae. These features suggest that Xianella was a key component of thick calcified porous microbial mats. These deposits are unusual in creating macroscopic wavy fenestra-rich structures that extend throughout breccia blocks up to 2 m across. Synsedimentary calcification and early cementation preserved fine filament details, as well as the variously erect and prostrate orientations of the cable-like strands that define numerous large and small fenestrae. We are unaware of similar calcified fabrics on this scale, but these extensive Xianella mats can be compared with silicified cyanobacterial mats in Proterozoic stromatolites and Palaeozoic spring deposits (Krings et al. 2007), some of which resemble present-day examples (Knoll & Golubic 1992, fig. 1). The detail preserved in these Xianella mat deposits is unexpectedly good; reflecting a combination of in vivo sheath calcification and synsedimentary cementation, sufficient to conserve the outlines of gas-bubble fabric. Detailed study of Xianella mats may help us further understand the nature of ancient microbial mats and the conditions affecting their formation.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND METHOD

During the Ordovician, the Sino-Korean (North China) Block was either a microcontinent near the margin of Gondwana (Li & Powell 2001) or part of Gondwana itself (McKenzie et al. 2011), and accumulated shallow-marine sediments (Meng et al. 1997). The Ordovician succession in this southern part of the block contains reefs which...
developed during the Middle–Late Ordovician (Ye et al. 1995; Webby 2002, p. 149; Wang et al. 2012; Jiang et al. 2013).

Samples were collected from the Tiewadian area on the southwestern side of the Ordos Basin in Jingyang County, 60 km NNW of the centre of Xi’an, Shaanxi Province, China (Fig. 1). These outcrops are road and quarry sections located on the southern slope of the mountains 6 km west of Kouzhen, adjacent to the area of the tomb of the Tang Emperor Xuānzong. In the area sampled these are represented by the Upper Ordovician Beiguoshan Formation (Fig. 2), consisting of more than 180 m of coarse angular limestone breccia blocks up to 3 m in size, although the majority are pebble to small boulder in size (Fig. 3A). Thin shale and lime mudstone horizons locally define bedded units within the breccia that are ~1–5 m thick. Stromatactoid geopetal fabrics show that the large block in Fig. 3A is on its side. The blocks consist of a variety of lithotypes (peloidal packstone/grainstone, skeletal packstone/wackestone).

Fossils reported from breccia blocks in the Tiewadian section include Amsassia, Cryptolichenaria, Forolinia, Phacelophyton, Renalcis, Girvanella, Ortonellina, Nuia, Vermiporella and Proaulopora (Ye et al. 1995) and Lichenaria, Rhabdotetradium, Syringoporella, Catenipora, Quepora, Yaoxianopora, Parastelliporella, Plasmoporella, Dinophyllum, Ningnanophyllum and Faviella (Jiang et al. 2013). Overall, these assemblages broadly suggest shallow marine conditions of Middle–Late Ordovician age. Ye et al. (1995) regarded the breccia as an essentially in situ reef deposit, but most blocks are broken and displaced and appear to be allochthonous gravity flow/slope talus deposits, probably from a carbonate platform margin (Gao & Peng 2006; Jiang et al. 2013). These Tiewadian breccias were originally attributed to the Pingliang Formation (Ye et al. 1995; Gao & Peng 2006), but have subsequently been ascribed to the Beiguoshan Formation (Cao et al. 2011; Jiang et al. 2013). A Middle (Ye et al. 1995) or Late (Cao et al. 2011; Jiang et al. 2013) Ordovician age has been suggested for this deposit (see Sun et al. 2014 for regional stratigraphy).

The samples of Xianella described here were collected from breccia blocks of the Beiguoshan Formation at two different horizons ~40 m vertically apart, at two different
localities within the section (Fig. 2); polished slabs and thin sections of the microbial carbonates were prepared. The samples from these localities are similar. Those illustrated here are from Locality 1; the sample is from a single block ~40 cm across, consisting entirely of \textit{Xianella} filaments with a distinctive fenestral fabric (Fig. 3B, C). On the other hand, Locality 2 contains a block ~2 m across, which appears to consist entirely of \textit{Xianella} fabric. Microscale measurements of \textit{Xianella} filament and bundle dimensions were made from photomicrographs. Numerical dimensions of fenestrae were measured using ‘ImageJ’ (Schneider et al. 2012).

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEOONTOLOGY**

\textbf{Cyanobacteria}

\textbf{Genus \textit{XIANELLA} nov.}

\textit{Derivation of name.} After Xi’an, the regional capital city of Shaanxi.

\textit{Type species.} \textit{Xianella hongii} sp. nov., by monotypy.

\textit{ Diagnosis.} Calcareous microfossil; narrow unbranched tubular filaments forming prostrate and erect anastomosing cable-like strands; wall micritic.

\textit{Comparisons.} We interpret \textit{Xianella} as the calcified sheath of a relatively large filamentous cyanobacterium. This is consistent with interpretations of \textit{Girvanella} and similar genera (Bornemann 1886; Hinde 1887, pp. 227–228; Pollock 1918, p. 255; Pia 1927, p. 38; Frémy & Dangeard 1935; Riding 1975, 1977). \textit{Xianella} is large relative to \textit{Cladogirvanella} and most \textit{Girvanella} species, but is similar in size to sheaths of some extant cyanobacteria, particularly those with multiple trichomes, e.g. \textit{Microcoleus} or Proterozoic \textit{Eomicrocoleus} (Horodyski & Donaldson 1980, fig. 15; Schopf 1996; Seong-Joo & Golubic 1999). Putative calcified filaments of sulphur bacteria are not tubular and show distinctive bending of the filaments suggesting looping behaviour (e.g. Oliveri et al. 2010); these features do not resemble \textit{Xianella}.

\textbf{FIG. 3.} A, Beiguoshan breccia outcrop showing a large block (marked by hammer) surrounded by smaller limestone fragments; stromatoid geopetal fabrics indicate that the large block is on its side with its top to the left. B, polished slab of \textit{Xianella} boundstone (from Locality 1) showing numerous sub-millimetric to centimetric fenestrae (NIGPAS159413). C, detail of area in B with anastomosing \textit{Xianella} strands defining irregular millimetric spar-filled fenestrae. Scale bars represent 4 cm (B); 1 cm (C). Colour online.
Remarks. The organization of Xianella into relatively thick anastomosing cable-like strands most closely resembles Cladogirvanella Ott, 1966 from the Ladinian (Middle Triassic) of the Italian Dolomites. Ott’s (1966) suggestion that Cladogirvanella tubes may bifurcate remains unconfirmed. Xianella is distinguished from Cladogirvanella by its larger tube size and the overall prostrate alignment of its cable-like strands. In the type-species of Cladogirvanella, C. cipitensis, tubes are typically 4–6 μm in internal diameter and form multi-filament cable-like strands 0.1–0.4 mm in diameter that are erect and radial (Ott 1966). Some Xianella cable-like bundles of tubes are erect, but these mainly form connections between equally or more abundant prostrate and arcuate Xianella layers and bundles, in complex trellis-like lattice-works that can extend for several tens of centimetres.

Bundled filamentous structure also occurs in Subtifloria Maslov, 1956, and the similar genera Botominella Reitlinger, 1959 and Batinevia Korde, 1966, all best known from the Cambrian. But none of these appear to form long branched cables, although they may be fragments. This marked difference in length, together with the generally more parallel alignment of their fine filaments, distinguish Subtifloria, Botominella and Batinevia from both Cladogirvanella and Xianella.

Cambrian Razumovskia Krasnopoeva, 1937 forms prostrate, thin, felted layers with upturned ends, and short vertical toed curved tufts and outgrowths that arise from its upper surface. These details distinguish it from Xianella. Ordovician Acuasiphonoria Liu et al., 2016 also consists of arrays of elongate filaments. Its long gently curved tubes are described as pointed and possibly branched at acute angles (Liu et al. 2016); these features, as well as its lack of anastomosed bundles, distinguish Acuasiphonoria from Xianella.

**Xianella hongii** sp. nov.

*Figures 4–7*

*Derivation of name.* After Jongsun Hong, who helped discover this fossil.

*Type specimen.* Specimens are housed at the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology under repository numbers NIGPAS159413 (holotype; slab) and NIGPAS159414–159419 (paratypes; thin sections).

*Type locality.* Two localities of downslope transported reefal blocks in the Beigoushan Formation, Tiewanian section, Shaanxi Province, China (34°41′56″N/108°37′48″E, 34°41′38″N/108°37′58″E). Middle–Late Ordovician.

*Diagnosis.* As for genus.

*Description.* Filaments, straight to sinuous, interlaced into cable-like strands forming an open anastomosing network in which layers of prostrate strands, joined by more erect branched strands, define relatively large spar-filled areas (Figs 3C, 4A–C). Tube diameter 20–60 μm; wall thickness 4–12 μm (Figs 5, 6); tubes commonly extend 200–400 μm in length (Fig. 4D, E). The tubes form anastomosing cable-like strands 0.1–2.0 mm (commonly 150–700 μm) in width, in which individual filaments range from closely adjacent to up to 30 μm apart, and can enclose spar-filled cavities 100–200 μm in width and up to ~1 mm long (Fig. 4C, F). These areas of fenestrae and Xianella cables often lie between much denser horizons dominated by irregular laterally elongate fenestrae up to 1 mm high and 3 mm wide (Fig. 4A), or by denser micrite areas, locally with a fragmented intraclast-like structure (Fig. 4A). In these crudely layered successions, the cable-like strands often form complex lattice-works enclosing large, irregular to rounded spar-filled fenestrae up to 2 cm (commonly ~3 mm) across (Figs 3C and 7). These open lattice-works retain a layered structure with distinct layers of prostrate filaments, which can be separated by 5–10 mm or more, and are connected by widely spaced erect strands (Fig. 7B, C). Locally, some of the large fenestrae defined by Xianella filaments have distinctly rounded margins (Fig. 7). These porous Xianella-dominated frameworks (Fig. 3B) form deposits up to at least 40 cm, and possibly more than 1 m, in width. In the denser horizons, the relatively small spar-filled laterally elongate fenestrae (Fig. 7A) occupy up to ~35% of

*Fig. 4.* Thin section photographs of Xianella hongii (from Locality 1). A, typical overall mat fabric (NIGPAS159414); the dense micrite–intraclast–peloidal lower part, with local mainly prostrate Xianella (white arrow), passes up into more erect Xianella strands defining large fenestrae (black arrow). B, fabric similar to A with medium-size fenestrae (central area) bounded by bundles of Xianella filaments (NIGPAS159415). C, detail of B showing erect cable-like strands formed by bundles of Xianella filaments; bundles in the centre branch upward. D, bundles and aligned clusters of subvertical (lower right) and prostrate (centre) Xianella filaments, associated with relatively large fenestrae (NIGPAS159416). E, detail of sub-horizontal Xianella filaments in D, showing unbranched tubes with uniform diameters and micritic walls; irregular thin surficial veneers on the outer surfaces of the tubes may be calcified biofilm. F, anastomosing Xianella cables defining medium to large fenestrae filled or lined by cloudy cement (NIGPAS159416); the central part of the large fenestra to the upper left was subsequently infilled by clear blocky cement. G, clotted micrite containing diffuse peloids, poorly defined filaments, and scattered transverse sections of Xianella tubes (NIGPAS159416). Scale bars represent 1 mm (A, B, D, F); 0.2 mm (C, E, G).
the fabric (Fig. 8A), whereas the more open frameworks, with sub-vertical strands and sub-horizontal layers that define large rounded vugs (Fig. 7B, C), are up to 70% or more spar-filled (Fig. 8B, C).

Remarks. The specimens are from breccia fragments and blocks whose source remains uncertain. The associated shallow water fossils (Ye et al. 1995; Jiang et al. 2013) would be consistent with toe-of-slope collapse breccias.

FIG. 5. Close up photographs of Xianella hongii (from Locality 1). A–C, longitudinal sections of tubes; A, NIGPAS159415; B, NIGPAS159416; C, NIGPAS159417. D, rare occurrence of a hyaline-like sparitic wall (NIGPAS159417). E, margin of the lower part of the large fenestra (upper left) illustrated in Fig. 9A, showing densely aggregated diffuse tubes paralleling the fenestra margin (NIGPAS159416). F, transverse sections of tubes (NIGPAS159417). All scale bars represent 0.1 mm.
from a carbonate platform margin that could have included reef constructions. This setting is comparable with that of Middle Triassic Cladogirvanella, originally described from allochthonous blocks (Cipit Boulders) in the Italian Dolomites (Ott 1966). Upward change from denser, micritic areas with smaller fenestrae (Fig. 7A) to less dense areas with erect Xianella filaments (Fig. 7B), suggests cyclic repetition that could reflect changes in Xianella mat growth and/or accretion.

MICROBIAL MAT STRUCTURE

Xianella filaments are commonly coated by thin irregular micritic patches and veneers that resemble calcified biofilm (Figs 4E, 5D). Tangled strands of filaments are locally associated with patches of diffuse micrite patterned by faint peloids and scattered microspar fenestrae. Larger areas and layers of inhomogeneous micrite, such as those in the lower part of Fig. 4A, contain very thin slightly aligned curved fenestrae and resemble amalgamated compacted layers of intraclasts and masses of mud-grade carbonate. These horizons also contain sporadic filaments similar to those that form Xianella but generally not arranged in bundles.

Both large and small spaces between the Xianella filaments and strands are either filled or lined by cloudy cement, and the filaments are generally aligned parallel to the margin of the fenestrae (Figs 4, 5D). This arrangement is similar to the present-day example figured by Mata et al. (2012, fig. 5a). In addition to a thick marginal layer of cloudy cement, the central parts of the larger Tiewadian fenestrae are filled by larger blocky cement crystals (Fig. 4F). We interpret this association of fabrics as lithified microbial mats in which growth cavities were initially synsediamentarily cemented by cloudy cement, and then infilled by clear burial cement. We infer that Xianella sheaths could have been calcified in vivo, allowing them to maintain an open meshwork structure that locally incorporated fine sediment. Early lithification by the cloudy blocky cements would have supported the open meshwork fabric. The name Xianella refers just to the filamentous fossil.

Broadly comparable mat structures are locally preserved by early silicification in Proterozoic stromatolites and Palaeozoic spring deposits (Krings et al. 2007). Proterozoic examples include filamentous cyanobacterial sheaths such as Siphonophycus (Schopf 1968; Yun 1981; Knoll 1985, fig. 8; Knoll et al. 1989, 2013; Seong-Joo &

**FIG. 6.** Dimensions of Xianella hongii filaments.

**FIG. 7.** Thin-section of Xianella boundstone (Locality 1) showing successive upward changes in fenestral fabric (NIGPAS159417). The size and areal percentage of fenestrae generally increases upwards from A to C. A, dense area dominated by diffuse clotted peloidal micrite with small laterally elongate spar-filled fenestrae; B, mid-sized fenestrae bounded by prostrate and also erect Xianella bundles; C, large fenestrae, some of which are centimetric, defined by variously oriented bundles of Xianella filaments. Most fenestrae are filled or lined by cloudy cement, with clear cement occupying the centres of larger fenestrae. Note that the lowest, unnumbered, part of the thin-section is similar in fabric to that of part C, and examination of larger areas (e.g. Fig. 3B) indicates vertical repetition in mat structure. Scale bar represents 1 cm.
Golubic 1999; Sergeev 2001; Cao & Yin 2011) and *Eomicrocoleus* (Horodyski & Donaldson 1980; Awramik *et al.* 1985; Kumar & Srivastava 1995). Some of these open meshworks of silicified filaments closely resemble present-day examples (Knoll & Golubic 1992, fig. 1). They can also show associations of vertical and horizontal filament alignment (Golubic & Focke 1978; Knoll & Golubic 1992, fig. 1; Seong-Joo & Golubic 1998, figs 2a, 12), some of which are reminiscent of *Xianella* fabrics in overall dimensions and organization (Seong-Joo & Golubic 1999; Knoll *et al.* 2013).

Knoll *et al.* (2013, fig. 11) contrasted Mesoproterozoic examples of thin-filament mats containing abundant primary void space, with adjacent laminated mats containing few primary voids. They compared them with present-day mats at Laguna Mormona (Horodyski & Vonder Haar 1975) and noted that these kinds of depositional fabrics became less common in Neoproterozoic successions. Whereas Knoll *et al.* (2013, fig. 9a) observed that open mat structure is preserved better in silicified areas than in carbonate, Tiewadian *Xianella* mats are both calcified and uncompacted. They therefore show that the quality of porous mat structure preservation by early carbonate lithification could locally, in the Middle–Late Ordovician, be comparable to that of silification. Presumably this required not only *in vivo* sheath calcification but also synsedimentary lithification of surrounding fabrics. The peloidal micrites observed at Tiewadian are less clearly

**FIG. 8.** Comparison of fenestra size in areas A–C of Figure 7, measured using ImageJ. Fenestrae smaller than 1 mm² are not included. Each bar represents a fenestra size in the range log(1/3). Overall, fenestra size increases from A to C.

**FIG. 9.** Examples of sub-rounded fenestrae ~0.5–2 mm in size. A, B, E, NIGPAS159416; C, D, F, NIGPAS159418. Scale bars represent 1 mm (A, B); 0.5 mm (C–F).
defined than some other fossil examples (Riding & Tomás 2006), but it remains possible that they too might be products of bacterial calcification or bacterial sulphate reduction (cf. Visscher et al. 2000; Guido et al. 2014).

**Rounded cavities.** Xianella fenestral deposits have a macroscopic wavy structure, defined by subtle colour banding and large, often vertically extended, voids (Fig. 3B, C), some of which have smooth rounded margins (Fig. 9A–F). These are mainly sub-millimetric to millimetric in size.

Mats of filamentous microbes such as cyanobacteria commonly create distinctive morphologies, e.g. ridges and tufts produced by trichome gliding, phototaxis and cohesion (e.g. Walter et al. 1976; Shepard & Sumner 2010). Additional three-dimensional structuring can arise from production of gas bubbles (Mata et al. 2012) which can also contribute to the development of coniform lamination (Bosak et al. 2009, 2010). Experiments with modern microbial mats have shown that sub-millimetric to millimetric oxygen bubbles can persist within mats for weeks to months (Bosak et al. 2010). Methane can also form bubbles that are similar to the rounded fenestrae in Xianella mats. Examples from modern gas hydrates also display similar sized rounded fabrics formed by methane bubbles (Brewer et al. 1997; Suess et al. 1999, fig. 2d). It should also be noted that bubbles not only occupy, but can expand, mat-cavities by stretching and locally disrupting networks of organic material. It is therefore possible that the rounded fenestrae present in Xianella mats, which are similarly millimetric in size (Fig. 9), could have been formed by cyanobacterially-generated oxygen bubbles. Mat disruption would also account for local stretching and discontinuity in these porous fabrics (Fig. 4A). In addition, early dissolution and/or bioerosion can enlarge fenestra margins (e.g. Riding & Zhuravlev 1995, fig. 3c). However, we have not observed clear evidence for this in our Xianella samples, and filaments typically parallel fenestra margins without showing truncation (Fig. 5E). Autosedimentary lithification promoted preservation of these structures.

**CONCLUSIONS**

_Xianella_ is a mat forming calcified cyanobacterium from the Middle–Late Ordovician near Xi’an, North China. It occurs in breccia block deposits that may have been derived by local collapse of a reeval platform margin. _Xianella_ consists of narrow, unsegmented and unbranched tubes interpreted as _in vivo_ calcified sheaths, intertwined to form branched and anastomosed cable-like strands encasing originally open, now spar-filled, fenestrae. In combination with micrite, some of which is intraclastic and peloidal, _Xianella_ created thick and extensive calcified fenestral sheets, which we interpret as synsedimentarily calcified open-frame mat fabrics. Fenestrae within these deposits range from small, laminose and very irregular to large and more equidimensional. Some fenestrae have rounded outlines with resemblances to primary gas bubbles. The structure and affinities of _Xianella_ are most similar to mid-Triassic _Cladogirvanella_, which also occurs in allochthonous margin-derived blocks. However, _Cladogirvanella_ differs from _Xianella_ in having much thinner tubes and a distinctly erect radiating growth form. _Xianella_ shows that Middle–Late Ordovician microbial synsedimentary bioinduced calcification could preserve delicate mat structures comparable to those observed in early silicified Proterozoic stromatolitic mats.
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